COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND ENFORCEMENT PENALTIES - 1

3. Defendants Jane and John Does 1-20, designed and/or built a structure intended for skateboarding ("Skatepark") on property owned by the City without permits or any other kind of permission from the City.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction because the City seeks damages in excess of \$300. RCW 2.08.010.
- 5. The Court has personal jurisdiction over all Defendants because this cause of action arises from their conduct in King County, Washington.
- 6. Venue is proper in King County because at least one of the Defendants resides in King County, Washington. RCW 4.12.025(1).

#### III. FACTS ENTITLING THE CITY TO RELIEF

- 7. On or about May 15, 2017 Transworld Skateboarding Magazine ("Transworld") announced the "Nike SB Project 58 Do It Yourself' contest (the "Contest").
- 8. The Contest tasked 14 skate shops across the county to document construction of a new and/or addition to an existing "do it yourself" ("DIY") skatepark. The Contest marketing materials indicate that each skate shop was given a \$500 gift card and "a few needed necessities" to complete the project. Each skate shop had just under a month to build, skate, film and edit a video of their DIY project.
- 9. According to the Contest's Official Rules videos had to be submitted by June 8, 2017. The voting period began on June 12, 2017 and ended on June 19, 2017. Winners were to be announced on or about June 20, 2017.

- 10. Three winners were to be chosen. The three winners were promised an additional \$1,000 cash to build another spot or keep adding to theirs along with a visit from Nike SB Pros and Transworld staff for an article in the magazine and a video on the Transworld website.
- 11. Upon information and belief, defendant 35th North was the only Seattle skate shop asked to submit a video.
- 12. On or about June 20, 2017, 35th North was named one of the three winners of the Contest.
- 13. The video submitted by 35th North showed Jane and John Does 1-20 constructing a concrete, bowl-shaped structure on "Duck Island," a small island located in Green Lake. Green Lake is both the name of a waterbody and a public park located in Seattle, Washington, which is owned by the City of Seattle and operated by Seattle Parks and Recreation. **Exhibit A** is an image from the submission video showing the Skatepark illegally built by Defendants on Duck Island.
- 14. During the construction of the Skatepark, Defendants cut down one or more trees and destroyed vegetation.
- 15. In the summer of 2017 the City learned that the Skatepark had been built on Duck Island.
- 16. The City did not give permission for any construction, of the Skatepark or otherwise, on Duck Island.
- 17. The City incurred significant costs to remove the Skatepark. The City will incur additional costs to restore the island for the wildlife.
- 18. Upon information and belief, 35th North was involved in, authorized, funded, ratified, and/or induced the construction of the Skatepark.

11

12

14

16

19

#### VII. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: UNAUTHORIZED USE OF PARK PROPERTY

- 29. The City incorporates all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-20.
- 30. The Seattle Parks Code is codified in SMC Chapter 18.30. Unauthorized use of park property is a violation of the Parks Code.
- 31. Defendants violated the Parks code by making unauthorized use of park property by clearing and cutting trees and vegetation on Duck Island without a permit or authorization from Parks.
- 32. Defendants violated the Parks code by constructing, erecting, and placing the Skatepark on park property without authorization from Parks.
- 33. Parks has determined that the unauthorized use creates substantial risk of injury to persons and to parks property and therefore the Superintendent of Parks is authorized to abate the unauthorized use and restore the affected park property pursuant to SMC 18.30.055.

### VIII. FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION: TIMBER TRESPASS (RCW 64.12.030)

- 34. The City incorporates all of the allegations in paragraphs 1-20.
- 35. Defendants cut down and otherwise damaged trees on the City's property without lawful authority.
  - 36. The cutting was not casual or involuntary.
  - 37. Defendants are liable for treble the City's damages.

## IX. SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTALLY CRITICAL AREAS CODE AND SHORELINE CODE

- 38. The City incorporates all of the allegations in paragraphs 1-20 above.
- 39. Duck Island is designated as an Environmentally Critical Area (ECA) wildlife habitat conservation area under Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 25.09. Duck Island is an ECA as

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

it is designated by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife as a priority habitat and species area.

- 40. Duck Island is also located within the City of Seattle's Shoreline District and therefore is subject to the Seattle Shoreline Master Program Regulations under SMC Chapter 23.60A.
- 41. Defendants undertook development on Duck Island by building the Skatepark without permits or authorization from the City.
- 42. Defendants disturbed and removed vegetation, cut and/or damaged trees, including one or more trees over six inches in caliper, and building a Skatepark in a priority habitat without a permit or authorization from the City.
- 43. Defendants encouraged, commanded, induced or otherwise procured others to disturb or remove vegetation; cut and/or damage trees, including one or more trees over six inches in caliper; and build a skatepark on Duck Island.
- 44. Violations of the ECA Code Chapter 25.09 as incorporated in the Shoreline Code Chapter 23.60A subject Defendants to a cumulative civil penalty of \$500 per day for each violation from the time the violation occurs or begins until compliance is achieved.
- 45. Defendants are subject to an additional civil penalty of \$5,000 for removing, clearing or taking any action detrimental to a tree over six inches in caliper.

#### X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief,

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND ENFORCEMENT PENALTIES - 6

1. A principal judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, including treble damages on the City's timber trespass claim or in such other amount as may be proven at trial; treble damages on the City's damage to land claim under 4324.630; damages resulting from Defendant's

negligence and trespass; penalties pursuant to SMC 25.09.460; and land restoration costs and an additional civil penalty of \$5,000 per tree for any tree over six inches in caliper.

- 2. Enforcement costs, including but not limited to administrative expenses and fees; the cost of any land surveys related to enforcement; the costs of any abatement and restoration of park property after abatement; recording fees; and litigation costs and statutory attorneys' fees pursuant to SMC 18.30.050; plus reasonable attorneys' fees, investigative costs and other litigation-related costs pursuant to RCW 4.24.630;
- 3. For pre-judgment interest from the date of this complaint pursuant to RCW 4.56.110 and otherwise;
- 4. For statutory attorney's fees and legal costs incurred in connection with this matter pursuant to RCW 4.84.010, 4.84.080 and otherwise;
- 5. For post-judgment interest on the judgment, at a rate to be determined at the time of entry, until the judgment is satisfied in full pursuant to RCW 4.56.110; and
  - 6. For such other and additional relief as the Court may deem just and equitable.

    DATED this 1st day of November, 2017.

PETER S. HOLMES Seattle City Attorney

By: s/Joseph G. Groshong
Joseph G. Groshong, WSBA #41593
Tobi M. Andrews, WSBA #51969
Stephanie P. Dikeakos, WSBA #27463
Assistant City Attorneys
joseph.groshong@seattle.gov
tobi.andrews@seattle.gov
Stephanie.Dikeakos@seattle.gov
Attorneys for Plaintiff City of Seattle

# EXHIBIT A

